Hospice UK Conference 2021: Abstract scoring template

All abstracts are assessed and scored under the following headings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Abstract Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Is this: | Completed Work ( ) | Work in progress ( ) |

**Section 1: Abstract Content** (max. 3 points per heading)

- Very well described = 3
- Well described = 2
- Not much described = 1
- Not at all described = 0

References included (Yes or No)

Is the work: Audit, Quality Improvement, Service Improvement, Research or Unclear/uncertain (please state)

**Background**

E.g., what is the rationale or gap in knowledge/practice that the work is based upon?

**Aim(s)**

E.g., what does the work/project aim to achieve and for whom?

**Methods**

E.g., what are/were the methods used to deliver or evaluate the work / project?

**Results**

E.g., what are/were the anticipated results and how will they make a difference?

**Conclusions**

E.g., do the conclusions and recommendations seem logical from the completed or anticipated results?

**Section 2: How innovative or of interest do you think this abstract is?** (max 3 points)

- Very = 3
- Quite = 2
- Not much = 1
- Not at all = 0

Comment

Total abstract score is Section 1 + Section 2 = /18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your recommendation (please indicate your 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} choice)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional comment:** Please use this section to say anything else that you feel is of importance e.g.
- Abstracts you want to particularly recommend for oral presentation or poster - or to be declined.
- Abstracts you want to explain more why you have made a recommendation.
- Anything else you want to say about your submitted scores and comments.